



GEMDEV

La Transition Écologique Juste en pratiques: croiser les savoirs, construire l'action

Table ronde

Gouvernance d'un programme de TEJ "Le fil invisible de l'action collective : la relation pour critère d'évaluation".



Intervenants :

Juliette Schlegel (Université Paris Cité - UMR LADYSS)

Alain Piveteau (IRD - UMR LAM)

Fouad Elhaddad (IRD - UMR LAM)

Jean-Philippe Berrou (Sciences Po Bordeaux - UMR LAM)

Thibaud Deguilhem (UTTOP - LEREPS)


Astou Diao-Camara (Isra Bame)

Cécile Renouard (Campus de la Transition)






Point méthode : évaluer la gouvernance de l'action collective

- ❖ Rôle des **idées et cadres cognitifs** dans la fabrique politique de l'action collective
- ❖ Ces idées ne s'élaborent pas en dehors de certaines **structures et d'activités stratégiques** des acteurs : **dimension interactive** et collective




Point méthode : l'évaluation relationnelle comme construction sociale [étape 1]

- ❖ Approche structurelle : **visualisation** de la circulation des ressources (différents espaces relationnels), **forme des réseaux** de gouvernance et **centralité** des acteurs (degré = nombre de liens de chaque acteur)
- ❖ Approche idéationnelle/cognitive : **idées qui fédèrent** le réseau



1. Les problèmes auxquels doit répondre la TEJ

A. Problème principal que doit résoudre la TEJ




Point méthode : l'évaluation relationnelle comme construction sociale [étape 2]


- ❖ Approche structurale : identification relationnelle des **“clusters”/“cliques”**
(Deguilhe L'équivalence structurale et/ou interactions denses, ex. modularité (Adam & Hanspeter, 2007)



- ❖ App
("pr
poli




tive : repérage
de l'action, inst



tionnelles
S coalitions

Point méthode : l'évaluation relationnelle comme construction sociale [étape 3]

- ❖ **Démarche de recherche-action** : projection des résultats et **fabrication collective du sens** (pas de recommandations) : l'expertise n'est **pas prescriptive mais accompagne les acteurs** (poursuite ou changement)





Data




- ❖ Three waves of surveys, 2023 (preliminary), 2024, 2025.
- ❖ 30 stakeholders (CPP partners)
- ❖ **Cognitive dimension:**
 - **Deep core** : fundamental and normative beliefs that relate to the social world in general
 - **Policy core** : fundamental core of ideas and principles in the field, in this case of the fair ecological transition;
 - **Secondary beliefs** : instruments needed to take action to achieve a fair ecological transition.
- ❖ **Networks studied:**
 - **Partnerships** [1:3],
 - **Information** [1:3],
 - **Coordination** [1:6] (merger of partnerships + information).

The network's beliefs: between common ground and regional differences

Deep Core 2024 et 2025




Distribution of attributes in 2022, 2024, and 2025




Main problem that the faire ecological transition must address

A. Problème principal que doit résoudre la TEJ 2024



- 1 - Climate change
- 8 - Human domination over nature
- 5 - Socioeconomic inequalities
- 4 - Capitalism
- 2 - The collapse of biodiversity

B. Problème principal que doit résoudre la TEJ 2025



- 6 - The development model of Northern economies
- 7 - Colonial and post-colonial domination of Southern countries by Northern countries
- 9 - Male domination over women
- 3 - Exceeding planetary boundaries
- 10 - Class inequalities and economic exploitation

Objectives and complementary approaches to the fair ecological transition

Top 10. 2024

Degree	Family	Definition
28	Paradigm shift	Invest in organizational innovations and local/traditional know-how.
28	Green growth	Commit to sustainable management of natural resources.
28	Sobriety/degrowth	Promote sustainable and decent jobs.
27	Green growth	Reduce CO ₂ emissions.
26	Sobriety/degrowth	Develop the circular economy to reduce production.
26	Paradigm shift	Share wealth fairly and equitably.
24	Sobriety/degrowth	Invest in low-tech solutions.
24	Paradigm shift	Reduce international trade and prioritize local exchanges.
24	Paradigm shift	Reduce/eradicate power inequalities in decision-making.

Top 10. 2025

Degree	Family	Definition
25	Paradigm shift	Reduce/eradicate power inequalities in decision-making.
25	Paradigm shift	Halt the degradation of ecosystems
24	Paradigm shift	Share wealth fairly and equitably.
24	Green growth	Commit to sustainable management of natural resources.
23	Paradigm shift	Radically transform production/consumption models
23	Paradigm shift	Invest in organizational innovations and local/traditional know-how.
22	Sobriety/degrowth	Enable Global South to develop in an environmentally friendly manner
21	Sobriety/degrowth	Promoting sustainable and decent jobs
21	Green growth	Reduce CO2 emissions

Objectives and complementary approaches to the fair ecological transition

2024 : Proportion of items with which the clique does not disagree (in %)				
	C1 : AmLat + centre	C2 : Afrique	C3 : Asie	C4 : MO + periph
CV	28.6	57.1	28.6	100
Sobriété	50	25	50	100
CdP	83.3	33.3	66.6	57.1

Latin America: detachment from alternatives to paradigm shift.


Africa: evolution towards paradigm shift but combined with technological elements and inclusive growth → hybrid vision.

Asia + center: no clear convergence, combination of sobriety, paradigm shift and sustainable resource management, with no dominant model.

2025 : Proportion of items with which the clique does not disagree (in %)			
	C1 : AmLat	C2 : Afrique	C3 : Asie + centre
CV	14.3	57.1	28.5
Sobriété	25	37.5	12.5
CdP	83.3	100	33,3

Note: The term “center” here refers to SCCF-PPI and SCCF-PRI.

Instruments and means to achieve a fair ecological transition



F. The **state and public policies** are essential

A. The rehabilitation and consolidation of **local and traditional know-how** is essential

G. **Local communities**, civil societies, and territories are essential


D. The **development of “commons”** is essential to enable sustainable management of natural resources

E. Recognizing and enforcing the **inherent rights of nature**


K. A just ecological transition inevitably requires **recognition and care for all**, starting with the poorest

Network governance: decentralization and intensification of exchanges

1. Réseau de coordination - CoRe 2024




2. Réseau de coordination - CoRe 2025



Network governance: central actors


2024 : Top 10 actors with incoming coordination relationships

	incoming_degree
SCCF-PAOI	49
AS-PTA	46
CARTO	45
CARITAS KAOLACK	44
CIPCA	43
ACLO	42
APRODEH	36
SCCF-PAEO	35
OCDI	34
SCCF-PALC	34



2025 : Top 10 actors with incoming coordination relationships


	incoming_degree
SCCF_PAOI	58
ASA	49
AS_PTA	48
CIPCA	46
APRODEH	44
ACLO	43
SCCF_ACSL_PACI	43
SCCF_PPI	42
CIMI	40
SCCF_PALC	39



Network governance: intermediaries / bridging actors


2024 : Top 8 intermediaries in coordination relationships

	betweenness
JARC	224.40238
SCCF-PAOI	171.01667
SCCF-PAEO	127.05952
CIPCA	122.19048
SCCF-PALC	109.92619
KMSS LOIKAW	103.00000
ASA	79.73333
CARITAS BANGLADESH	65.00000



2025 : Top 8 actors intermediaries in coordination relationships

	betweenness
CAAAP	287.83333
AS_PTA	76.31667
JARC	73.01667
IGSSS	62.40000
CARITAS_BAMAKO	43.00000
SCCF_PALC	35.88333
CARITAS_BANGLADESH	35.50000
SCCF_PAOI	31.10000




Partnerships network (reciprocal)

A. Partnership network 2025



B. Partnership network 2025



Conclusion

